## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS EL PASO DIVISION

IN RE:	§	
	§	
JOE JESSE MONGE and	§	
ROSANA ELENA MONGE,	§	CASE NO. 09-30881-lmc
	§	
Debtors.	§	
	§	
JOE JESSE MONGE and	§	CHAPTER 11
ROSANA ELENA MONGE,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
V.	§	
	§	ADVERSARY NO. 10-3019
ALICIA ROJAS and FRANCISCO JAYME,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

## JOINT STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINES

TO THE HONORABLE H. CHRISTOPHER MOTT, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

COMES NOW, JOE JESSE MONGE and ROSANA ELENA MONGE, Plaintiffs herein, jointed by ALICIA ROJAS and FRANCISCO JAYME ("Defendants"), Defendants herein, and file this their Joint Stipulation Extending all Deadlines and Moving for Continuance on the Docket Call for Trial, and as grounds therefore state:

- 1. The deadlines established in the above-captioned case provide that discovery be completed by 06/24/2011, that the pretrial order be submitted by 07/27/2011, and that the docket call for trial be held on 08/10/2011.
- 2. The parties were in the midst of taking depositions in June, which depositions were delayed based upon serious settlement discussions that took place during the said depositions. Plaintiff took depositions first, and completed their depositions, but Defendants' depositions were delayed. The settlement negotiations have broken down, and the parties are discussing a time to continue those depositions, but have not been able to find a time when all parties and counsel are available. Both Plaintiffs and Defendants believe that additional discovery will be beneficial.

3. During this time, the US Supreme Court case of <u>Stern v. Marshall</u> was decided. The parties

believe that the claims of both the Plaintiffs and the Defendants in the above-captioned adversary proceeding

contain state-law claims. The parties feel that it would be advantageous to have some more time to determine

the effect of the Stern v. Marshall decision on this adversary proceeding.

4. The parties, therefore, jointly stipulate that all of the deadlines in this case be extended for

a period of sixty (60) days to allow for the parties to fully develop the facts and obtain documents, potentially

from third parties, and to attempt to determine the effect on this case of the Stern v. Marshall decision. Such

an extension of sixty (60) days will require that the docket call for trial to be continued, and the parties,

therefore, jointly move that the docket call for trial be continued to account for the said extension.

4. It is further agreed that this Stipulation is without prejudice to the rights of Plaintiffs or

Defendants to seek additional extensions of the Deadlines in this case by and through another stipulation or

further order of this Court and either parties' right to object thereto.

5. The undersigned parties agree that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine

all matters arising from or related to implementation of this Order.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants request that the Court enter the Joint Stipulation extending

all deadlines in this case.

DATED:

July 14, 2011

GUEVARA, BAUMANN, COLDWELL

REEDMAN & LUTHER, LLP

DIAMOND LAW

By: /s/ Lane C. Reedman

Lane C. Reedman
Attorney for Defendants
Texas Bar Card No. 16698400
4171 North Mesa Street, Suite B-201
El Paso, Texas 79902

Tel.: (915) 544-6646 Fax.: (915) 544-8305 gbcr\_law@att.net By: /s/ Sidney J. Diamond

Sidney J. Diamond Attorney for Debtors/Plaintiff Texas Bar Card No. 5803000 3800 North Mesa Street, Suite B-3

El Paso, Texas 79902 Tel.: (915) 532-3327 Fax.: (915) 532-3355

Sidney@sidneydiamond.com